UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,130	06/27/2007	Seiichi Toki	59150-8038	7080	
22918 PERKINS COI	7590 02/25/200 E LLP	8	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2168			WORLEY, CATHY KINGDON		
MENLO PARK, CA 94026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/594,130	TOKI, SEIICHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CATHY K. WORLEY	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
·—						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
· <u> </u>						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.	us fue us se secide vetics					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	whirem consideration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priemy ariaer se erere y rre(a)	(4) 51 (1).				
·— ·— ·—	s have been received					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<u> </u>	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•	a iii ano i vadonai	Olago			
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
and the second of the second o						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/06.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
- · · · - \- \- \- · · · · · · · - · · · ·	, <b></b>					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Restriction/Election

1. Claims 1-6 are pending in the instant application and are examined in this Office Action.

### Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the first ten words of the abstract are not a complete sentence. Correction is requested. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - In claim 1, the comma in line three after "Agrobacterium" should be deleted to be grammatically correct.
  - Claims 2-6 do not use a proper article for a dependent claim; the Applicant is advised to replace "A" with - The - .

Appropriate correction is requested.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al (JP2001029075, published on Feb. 6, 2001). The Examiner relies on a machine translation of this document in order to make this rejection.

The claims are drawn to a method for transforming a monocotyledon by infecting a seed with Agrobacterium.

Tanaka et al teach a method for transforming a rice seed comprising the steps of incubating the seed in a medium containing 2 mg/l of 2,4-D for five days followed by cocultivation with Agrobacterium (see paragraphs 0037 - 0039). They claim transformation with Agrobacterium containing a desired recombination gene (see claim 1).

Tanaka et al do not teach pre-culture for 1 to 3 days.

The only difference between the claimed invention in the instant application and the invention taught in the prior art Japanese application is the number of days of pre-culture for the seeds prior to cocultivation with Agrobacterium. In the absence of any showing of unexpected results, it would be an obvious variant of the prior art invention to reduce the number of days of pre-culture. The Japanese plant claims a method using four or five days of pre-culture (see claim 2), and this indicates that the 5-day incubation period in the working example is not critical.

Art Unit: 1638

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to try shorter pre-culture times in order to expedite the procedure.

If the Applicant believes the 1 to 3 day pre-culture period provided a surprising and unexpected advantage over the 4-5 day pre-culture period taught in the prior art, then they are invited to provide evidence of such surprising and unexpected results in the form of a declaration.

- 5. No claim is allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cathy K. Worley whose telephone number is (571) 272-8784. The examiner is on a variable schedule but can normally be reached on M-F 10:00 4:00 with additional variable hours before 10:00 and after 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg, can be reached on (571) 272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,130 Page 5

Art Unit: 1638

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cathy K. Worley/ Examiner, Art Unit 1638 CKW